

No.MCI-6(2)/2001-Med./

Medical Council of India

119th Session

Minutes of the meeting held on 30th April/1st May,2002

The Council met in the Council Office, Aiwan-E-Galib Marg, Kotla Road, New Delhi-110 002 on 30th April & 1st May, 2002 at 11.00 a.m. with Maj Gen (Retd) SP Jhington, Kirti Chakra, VSM, Administrator, Medical Council of India in the Chair.

** ** *

Present :

- | | |
|--|-------------------------------|
| 1. Maj Gen (Retd) SP Jhington,
Kirti Chakra, VSM, | - Administrator |
| 2. Dr. A. Rajasekaran | 24. Dr. Naveen Nahar |
| 3. Dr. Ajay Kumar | 25. Dr. Nitin S. Vora |
| 4. Dr. A.C. Borah | 26. Dr. P.M. Jadhav |
| 5. Dr. Amrith Lal | 27. Dr. P.C.Kesavankutty Nair |
| 6. Dr. B. Ray Chaudhuri | 28. Dr. P.K. Patel |
| 7. Dr. B. P. Dubey | 29. Dr. Pramod Singh |
| 8. Dr. B.A. Rudrawadi | 30. Dr. Rani Bhaskaran |
| 9. Dr. B.S. Kothari | 31. Dr. S.S. Yadav |
| 10. Dr. C. Das | 32. Dr. S.K. Sinha |
| 11. Dr. C.S. Jayachandran | 33. Dr. S.R. Maralihalli |
| 12. Dr. D.G. Benakappa | 34. Dr. Samar Deb |
| 13. Dr. D.J. Borah | 35. Dr. S. Mishra |
| 14. Dr. D.K. Sharma | 36. Dr. S. B. Siwach |
| 15. Dr. F.U. Ahmed | 37. Dr. Subhash J. Penkar |
| 16. Dr. G. Sham Sunder | 38. Dr. S.C. Shetty |
| 17. Dr. H.P. Bhalodiya | 39. Dr. S.P. Singh |
| 18. Dr. K.S. Chugh | 40. Dr. S.K. Mittal |
| 19. Dr. K.M.Rameshchandra Babu | 41. Dr. U.S. Sinha |
| 20. Dr. M.K. Sharma | 42. Dr. (Mrs.) Usha Sharma |
| 21. Dr. Mehrajuddin | 43. Dr. V. Kanagaraj |
| 22. Dr. Mohinder Nath | 44. Dr. V. K. Puri |
| 23. Dr. M.K. Bhowmik | 45. Dr. Ved Prakash Mishra |
| | 46. Dr. Vasant N. Pawar |

Dr. (Mrs.) M. Sachdeva - Secretary

Apologies for absence were received from the following members:-

1. Dr. B.C. Chhapparwal
2. Dr. U.G. Nachinolkar
3. Dr. J.R. Kher

4. Dr. L.S. Chawla
5. Dr. B.C. Das
6. Dr. T.R. Gyatso
7. Dr. S.K. Sharma
8. Dr. M. Amusna Singh
9. Dr. Nivedita Desai

At the outset, the Administrator introduced himself to the Council members and welcomed them to the General Body meeting which is being held under the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 22.4.2002.

Dr. P.M. Jadhav informed the General Body of the Council that Dr.K.S. Chugh has been awarded an honorary fellowship i.e. FRCP by the Royal College of Physicians for his eminent work in Nephrology carried out nationally and internationally. He also informed that Dr. S.C. Shetty, Vice-Chancellor, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences has been awarded "Doctor Honoris Causa, and Gold Medal by Odessa State Medical University.

The members congratulated Dr. K.S. Chugh and Dr. S.C. Shetty for these achievements.

Dr. B.Ray Chaudhuri informed that the meeting shall be held in camera hence, the rest of the officers present in the Council hall were requested to leave.

Dr. B.Ray Chaudhuri also questioned the letter dated 26.4.2002 of the Secretary, MCI sent to all the members of the General Body communicating therein that (item Nos. 5,6,7 pertaining to) election to the Executive Committee, Postgraduate Committee and other bodies cannot take place as the matter is before the Hon'ble Supreme Court for their active consideration and that accordingly item Nos. 5,6,7 of the Agenda of General Body meeting be deleted.

Dr. Chaudhuri raised a point of order questioning the validity of withdrawal of 3 items from the previous circulated agenda by

communication dated 26.4.2002 by the Secretary. Dr. Chaudhuri further opined that in the judgement of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court, the Council has not been restrained from holding the elections. It is the Government which is directed to constitute the Council in a proper manner and when it is fully constituted, elections for the President and Vice-President be held. Dr. Chaudhuri further informed that this meeting is being held on the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 22.4.2002 and the Hon'ble Supreme Court has not restrained the Council to discharge any of its responsibilities, hence, he felt that it is the obligation of the Council to elect the representatives on the Postgraduate Committee which is a special committee under the provisions of the Act and not a child of the Council. Similarly, the Hon'ble Court has not restrained the election for the Executive Committee. The Council will be failing in its duty if they do not hold the elections. On this point of order Dr. Chaudhuri informed that withdrawal of these items cannot be allowed unless specifically provided in either of the orders i.e. Hon'ble Delhi High Court or the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Dr. V.K. Puri stated that deferring the election to the important bodies like Executive and PG Committee would be causing problems in discharge of statutory obligations by the Council. Dr. Ved Prakash Mishra stated that the framers of the Act in terms of Section 7(2) have clearly laid down that a member in the Council shall be continuing till his successor is elected and thus the possibility of a vacancy being caused in the Council was eliminated. In spite of such a contemplation, as an adequate abundant caution the framers provided an independent clause at 3(3) which states that "no act done by the Council shall be questioned on the ground merely of the existence of any vacancy in, or any defect in the constitution of the Council. By virtue of the said saving clause the question of vacancies in the Council do not come in the way of it discharging its duty bounded obligations. Dr. K.S. Chugh brought out that there is no explicit embargo that has been put by any authority as regards holding the elections to Executive Committee and PG Committee respectively. Dr. P.M. Jadhav brought out that the question of elections to EC and PG was discussed in the meeting of Executive Committee held on 24th April, 2002 which was presided over by the

Administrator who was one with the Executive Committee for having election in the General Body scheduled on 30th April-Ist May, 2002.

Dr. S.K. Mittal opined that the Hon'ble Supreme Court had directed to hold the General Body meeting in public interest and public interest says that the work of the Council should not suffer.

On this point of order raised by Dr. Ray Chaudhuri the Administrator clarified that agenda was despatched as per the procedure and on his directions the election items which were included in the original agenda were deleted. He further stated that this meeting has been called on the direction of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 22.4.2002 and as per the order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court only the pending matters of recognition, etc. are to be considered.

At this juncture Dr. Ajay Kumar brought out that certain items on the agenda papers circulated to him were scratched by pen whereas when the same thing was compared by him with the agenda papers of the other members the said scratching was not matcheable. This therefore was a clear cut case of tampering of the agenda papers and the responsibility thereof needs to be fixed forthwith.

After much deliberations, proposed by Dr. V.P. Mishra and seconded by all the members present, the Council resolved that "item No. 5,6,7 included in the Agenda of the instant meeting, but deleted subsequently by the Secretary, Medical Council of India under the instructions of the Administrator, communicated in her letter dated 26.4.2002 be treated as withdrawn and therefore, the original agenda of the meeting stands restored.

Council further resolved that said items on the agenda (5,6,7) which pertain to elections to Executive Committee and Postgraduate Committee and other bodies be held in the meeting of the Council which may be fixed on 23rd/24th May,2002 giving 21 days clear notice for holding these elections as per the provisions of Regulations,1998."

Dr. B. Ray Chaudhuri, pointed out that he being the Chairman of the Committee constituted for purpose of Bulletin of Information, a quarterly news bulletin of the Council, he was never consulted before publishing the same. He came to know about the said publication only

when he got a copy of news bulletin where his name has been printed on the first page. He stated that the current news bulletin (March,2002) looks reprint of news items rather than information pertaining to MCI or medical education.

Consequent upon the said observation members namely, Dr. Ajay Kumar, Dr. Ved Prakash Mishra, Dr. D.K. Sharma expressing their grave concern over the said lapse, the House unanimously decided that Bulletin of Information be sent to Dr. Ray Chaudhuri before getting it printed and the Chair agreed to this. It was also decided that Bulletin should be informative rather than reprinting of news paper cuttings.

In view of the above, the Council passed the following resolution in this regard:-

“that the Bulletin brought out by the Medical Council of India should be informative and commensurate with building a fair name of the Council amongst the all concerned and therefore, should be brought out with prior approval of its Editorial Board headed by Dr. B.Ray Chaudhuri in a formal convened meeting.”

The Administrator requested the members to take up the items of the Agenda in the laid down order particularly items pertaining to the recognition of medical colleges as per the Hon'ble Supreme Court order.

At this juncture Dr. Ved Prakash Mishra brought out that 19 members of the Council had submitted a notice for a requisition meeting in regard to a news paper report published in English daily Indian Express wherein in terms of the statement made by the Administrator questionable contents have been published. Normally, a requisition meeting is a special meeting convened limited for that purpose in terms of the governing ordinance. However, as the said item has been included in the agenda for the present meeting at Sl.No.86, it is imperative that the same will be required to be taken up first with precedence over all other items included in the agenda. Accordingly, item no.86 needs to be taken up first for consideration.

The issues pertaining to expenditure incurred on TA/DA on the members of the Council/inspections, legal matters and the hurdles created by the members of the Executive Committee and some of the officers of the Council for removing corruption in the Council office as per the statement of the Administrator were debated at length.

As the Administrator was not conceding to the request of taking up item no.86 for consideration, the Council unanimously moved the following resolution on the point of order raised by Dr. Ved Prakash Mishra:-

“The Council unanimously resolved that in terms of an alterations in the chronology of agenda, item No. 86 pertaining to a requisition from 19 members of the Council seeking discussion on the defamatory observations and statements included in a newspaper report published in English daily “Indian Express”, dated 29th March,2002 that have been made by the Administrator of the Council be taken up first in terms of the procedure in the governing ordinance as regards motion for altering the chronology of the agenda.”

As per the above resolution item No. 86 was discussed thoroughly.

Item No. 86: Request of the members of the Council as per provision of the regulations 4(1)© of the MCI Regulations for calling a meeting of the General Body to deliberate upon newspaper report appeared in the Indian Express dated 29.3.2002

The newspaper report was read out and the members of the General Body of the Council strongly objected the statement made by the Administrator appeared in the Indian Express dated 29.3.2002 that Rs. 10 crores were demanded for recommending Letter of Intent for establishment of medical college at Karakonam, Kerala. The members further asked the Administrator, was any inquiry made or any action taken to verify the facts, by him before giving these statements in the press. They also wanted to know how the Executive Committee members and the officers of the Council were a hurdle in removing the corruption from the office.

Administrator while clarifying his stand informed that when he went along with Dr. J.K. Tandon to visit the CSI Hospital, Karakonam to verify the facts whether beds are available in the departments of Paediatrics and

Obst. & Gynae., he was informed by the Dean that from the first day when they moved the application for establishing the college they were receiving phone calls in some South Indian voice demanding Rs.10 crores for recommending the college for establishment. He told that the Bishop and Dr. Bennett Abraham gave this information to him.

The Administrator further informed that the statement regarding Rs.10 crores in the Indian Express was correctly reported by him as learnt from the representatives of the college i.e. the Bishop and Dr. Bennett Abraham. He assured the members that he had not named or involved any member of the Executive Committee or Council in this case.

The Vice-President of the Council informed that Dr. Bennett Abraham is a member of the Kerala Public Service Commission and how he could be associated with this college, needs to be inquired into. It was decided that a letter be sent to the Private Secretary to Governor for finding out the role of the member of the Kerala Public Service Commission in this medical college.

Regarding the decision taken to verify the facts pertaining to beds in Gynae. & Obst. and Paediatrics in the CSI Hospital, Karakonam the same was taken as the Administrator received a letter through Courier at his house in midnight.

Regarding the legal expenses, the members informed that the legal cases are not in the hands of MCI and the cases which have been filed by individuals against the rules and regulations of the Council are to be defended properly through the Council Advocates.

Dr. Usha Sharma opined that there is no legal mess and that it was appropriate if the Administrator had brought before the General Body of the Council a list of the cases which were being defended and the expenditure which was being incurred per hearing by the Council rather than making a vague statement of incurring huge expenses on legal cases. It was brought out that the Administrator himself has incurred approximately 6

lakhs rupees in a short span in defending himself in the Court of Law by incurring huge expenditure on the Advocates engaged in defending him.

Dr. Vasant Pawar brought out that the allegations included in the said news paper report were defamatory and the same have been made by the Administrator without verifying the facts in this regard. It has definitely lowered the prestige of the members of the Council as well as that of the Medical fraternity.

Dr. Bhaskar Ray Choudhuri said that the statements of such nature made to the media should be made only upon complete verification of the same as the statement once made cannot be returned back and the damage caused thereof cannot be undone.

Dr. Ved Prakash Mishra stated that the observations brought out in the media in terms of quotation by the Administrator, has not been denied or negated at any subsequent occasion. The onus therefore of substantiating could squarely vest on the Administrator and therefore the onus of proof would also be exclusively on him. The statement so made by him definitely are not in good taste and do indicate that they have been made with a definite intent.

Upon much deliberations in the matter, the members unanimously resolved “to condemn the Administrator for having made defamatory statements that have been brought out in the aforesaid newspaper report without verifying the facts in that regard with the sole intention of maligning the prestige and reputation of the members of the Council and maligning the image of whole medical fraternity of the country as all the members are of eminence personality in their concerning states having status in the Society.”

It was further resolved that “the Council should initiate necessary steps in consultation with its Senior Legal Counsel(s) and if they so advise, then to file a suit of defamation against the Administrator under clause 499 and 500 of the Indian Penal Code.”

As regards the decision pertaining to opening of a medical college by CSI Trust u/s 10A of the I.M.C. Act,1956 where the Executive Committee had taken a decision in its meeting held on 04.03.2002 for recommending issue of Letter of Intent to the Central Govt. for establishment of medical

college at Karakonam by CSI South Kerala Medical Mission, Kerala, which minutes were declared as illegal by the Administrator, it was brought out that these minutes cannot be declared as illegal, as the same have been acted upon by the Govt. of India in the instant case.

The General Body further decided to consider item No. 89 pertaining to **“Approval of Academy of Medical Sciences, Pariyaram, Kannur for the award of MBBS degree granted by Calicut University”**

The Council read the following decision taken by the Executive Committee under this item where a note of the Administrator has been appended that the meeting of the Executive Committee held on 04.03.2002 was declared illegal by him. It was stated by Dr. P.M. Jadhav that with this appended note the Council will not be able to consider the matter of approval of Academy of Medical Sciences, Pariyaram, Kannur for the award of MBBS degree granted by Calicut University:-

“The Executive Committee noted that the matter of approval of Academy of Medical Sciences, Pariyaram, Kannur for the award of MBBS degree granted by Calicut University has again been included in the agenda for the meeting of the Committee on 24.04.2002 whereas the matter had already been decided by it at its meeting held on 04.03.2002 recommending approval of Academy of Medical Sciences, Pariyaram, Kannur for the award of MBBS degree granted by Calicut University with annual intake of 100 (hundred) admissions.

The Committee further noted that the Central Govt. vide its letter dated 08.03.2002 has renewed the permission for admission of 7th batch of students at Academy of Medical Sciences, Pariyaram, Kannur for the academic session 2001-2002 with 100 admissions.

The decision taken by the Executive Committee at its meeting held on 04.03.2002 be placed before the Council for its consideration.

In light of these facts and while considering the above matter, the members also discussed the issue relating to validity of the meetings of the Executive Committee held on 18.2.2002 and 4.3.2002 where certain decisions were taken by the Executive Committee which were duly forwarded to the Central Govt. for taking necessary action by the members of the EC which however, were declared illegal by the Administrator, the

Council therefore was constrained to resolve that “the minutes of the meeting of the Executive Committee dated 18.2.2002 and 4.3.2002 respectively are declared as valid and legal for all operational purpose of effect and record.”

In view of the above resolution, the General Body decided that the word “illegal” referred to in the note appended to the said item stands deleted. Consequently, the Council unanimously approved the recommendations of the Executive Committee with regard to approval of Academy of Medical Sciences, Pariyaram, Kannur for the award of MBBS degree granted by Calicut University with an annual intake of 100 (hundred) students.

For the purpose of prompt execution, the Minutes were read out and were confirmed.

The General Body further decided that in view of the above resolution the recommendations of the Executive Committee made in these two meetings i.e. 18.2.2002 and 4.3.2002 are approved for execution of the orders.

1. Notification of new members.

Secretary read out the notification of the following new members elected/nominated as members of the Council since the last meeting of the Council held on 21st/22nd June, 2001.

<u>Sl.No.</u>	<u>Name of Member</u>	<u>u/s</u>	<u>Constituency</u>
1.	Dr. C. Das	3(1)(a)	Meghalya Govt.
2.	Dr. Nitin Vora	3(1)(b)	Bhavnagar Univ.
3.	Dr. Bhavin S. Kothari	3(1)(b)	Saurashtra Univ.
4.	Dr. Sahaja Nand Prasad Singh	3(1)(b)	Bhagalpur Univ.
5.	Dr. Mukesh Kumar Sharma	3(1)(c)	R.M.G. Rajasthan

2. Minutes of the last meeting – Confirmation of.

The minutes of the last meeting of the Council held on 21st & 22nd June, 2001 were confirmed.

3. Minutes of the last meeting of the Council – Action taken thereon.

The Council noted the action taken by the office on the various items included in the minutes of the meeting of the Council held on 21st & 22nd June, 2001.

4. Address by the Administrator.

The Administrator delivered his address, the salient features of which are as under:-

1. Welcomed the Council members to the Council meeting being held in pursuance of the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court.
2. Informed the Council that Dr. K.S. Chugh, one of the esteemed members has been awarded an honorary Fellowship by the Royal College of Physicians (FRCP), London for his eminent work in Nephrology nationally and internationally and Dr. S.C. Shetty has been awarded Doctor Honoraris and Gold Medal by Odessa State Medical Academy and requested them to join him in conveying the heartiest congratulations on behalf of the Council.
3. Administrator informed that the last few months had been rather painful for the MCI with all the court cases going on. He was, however, hopeful that better days are ahead and the MCI, the apex body of the medical fraternity, would regain its glory.
4. He informed that the members are aware that the Hon'ble Delhi High Court appointed him as Administrator on 23rd November, 2001 till such time elections are held for the offices of the President and Vice-President of the Council. He has been assigned the onerous task of restoring the public confidence in the MCI and to bring it back on its feet to enable it to discharge its statutory functions in accordance with the spirit and object of setting up the Medical Council of India. He further informed that the copy of the judgement had already been sent to all members of the Council.

5. During the last five months, he had tried to bring in transparency in the functioning of the Council and effect improvement in public dealings. The existing Council Website is being rebuilt to have more information as also dynamic database for easy access. With this facility he hopes to make various facets of MCI more transparent, reducing the long list of legal cases.

6. The Monitoring Cell has been activated to pursue matters particularly with regard to admission status, regular inspections of medical colleges and other postgraduate medical education matters etc. keeping in view adverse comments by the Hon'ble High Court, on this account.

7. A Grievance Cell has been set up to deal with the large number of complaints related to Council activities from the medical college Management, doctors, parents and others. The Cell is gradually being activated.

8. In the intervening period, the Central Govt. has approved the regulations which were under consideration; and these have since been notified in the Gazette of India:-

(1) Screening Test Regulations 2002 as well as Eligibility requirement for taking admission in an Undergraduate Medical Course in foreign medical Institutions, Regulations 2002 were notified in the Gazette of India dated 18th February 2002 and circulated to members in addition to taking further action on these regulations. The Ministry has asked the National Board of Examinations to conduct the Screening Test for Indian citizens holding foreign primary qualification as per the Amendment Act and the Regulations.

(2) The MCI Professional Conduct, Etiquette and Ethics Regulations, April 2002, have been notified in the Gazette of India dated 6th April 2002.

9. The MCI Quarterly Bulletin has been issued in March, 2002.

10. During the above period, the Executive Committee meetings were held on 31st January, 24th April, 2002, 18th Feb.2002 and 4th March, 2002. The next meeting of the Executive Committee has been scheduled for 1st May afternoon after the General Body meeting. With these meetings, we would clear all the pending cases.

11. As regards the Council complex at Dwarka, the work has come up to the roof of basement but is held up due to delay in awarding electrical contract. There has been some slippage in the construction schedule.

12. On receipt of Supreme Court Judgement dated the 8th March, 2002 granting certain relaxation to candidates who had applied for registration on account of their foreign medical qualifications (particularly Russia and other CIS countries), the office has taken necessary action with the approval of the Registration & Equivalence Committee for implementing the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

13. He also informed that of late in a number of cases concerning establishment of medical colleges, the Central Government has totally ignored the recommendations of the MCI. Not only this, even the protests of the MCI, drawing attention to this disregard for the statutory requirement of MCI recommendations, have not elicited any response.

14. In the end, the Administrator assured the Hon'ble members of the Council that he considers his personal privilege to be amongst such eminent personalities which represent the very best of medical fraternity. He is there to carry out the task assigned to him by the Hon'ble Court as a stop gap arrangement. He sincerely hopes that all his actions some of which may not be to the liking of the Council members would be taken in the right spirit as these are in the overall interest of the Medical Council of India.

Dr. Vasant N. Pawar moved a motion of thanks to the Administrator's address.

The House decided to deliberate and discuss the issues arising out of the address in details, its aims and objectives as well as the lapses and

expectations of the House from the Administrator which should have been incorporated in the address.

The Administrator then declared the meeting to close for that day and requested the members to reassemble again on 1st May, 2002 at 9.00 a.m. for continuing with the General Body meeting of the Council.

01.05.2002

Council met in the Council office, Aiwan-E-Galib Marg, Kotla Road, New Delhi on 1st May, 2002 at 9.30 a.m. with Maj Gen (Retd) SP Jhingon, Kirti Chakra, VSM, Administrator in the Chair.

The meeting was called to order.

At the outset Dr. A. Rajasekaran, stated that in the last General Body meeting he had raised an issue regarding reservation which was not recorded in the proceedings. To this Dr. Mishra stated that the minutes of the previous General Body meeting have already been confirmed at an earlier item in this meeting and as such any request of inclusion or deletion in the said confirmed minutes was superfluous.

The deliberations held at the EC be brought back to the General Body for discussions, if any.

Further discussions were held on the points of the address of the Administrator pertaining to establishment of Grievance Cell, Publication of the Bulletin, Monitoring Cell, Transparency and Indian Express news item. Dr. S.C. Shetty expressing his grave concern in regard to the news report brought out by the Indian Express including therein the statements made by the Administrator have lowered the prestige of the Council. This should have been avoided by the Administrator. The Grievance Cell that is said to have been constituted and for which wide ranging publicity has been made by the Council through advertisement in newspapers, has as yet not received any complaints. Further, it was imperative that the machinery and mechanism evolved for the said Grievance Cell should have been brought

out by the President in his address. Dr. Ved Prakash Mishra participating on the motion of thanks said that the trend set in by the Administrator of overruling the unanimous decision taken by the statutory authorities in the Council including Executive Committee does not depict fairly adherence of the Administrator to the democratic norms and the same borders autocratic in character. Dr. Kanagaraj said that the manner in which the Medical Council of India Bulletin was brought out by the Council was damaging to the interests of the Medical Council of India and the responsibility of the same has to be borne by the Administrator. Dr. D.K. Sharma said that the functioning of the Council in the last 6 months has not brought out anything new or novel and that the presidential address was just rhetoric without any substance and direction as well. Dr. Ramesh Babu brought out that several actions on part of the Administrator have been deliberately intended towards causing damage of reputation of the members of the Council specially his observation in regard to a demand said to have been made to a college management in a South Indian voice. Dr. S.K. Sinha said that the Administrator wanted to centralize all functional powers in his hand and as such inspite of all the authorities of the Council being in position yet the meeting of the Executive Committee and General Body were required to be called only upon the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Dr. S.B. Sivaz brought out that the presidential address was more of an eye wash than a document depicting reality.

Dr. Vasant Pawar then replied to the motion of thanks moved by him on the address of the Administrator and stated that in light of various facts which have been brought out in details by the various participating members in the General Body meeting particularly pertaining to news item appeared in Indian Express, Bulletin which was only the reprint of the newspaper, affidavit filed in the Hon'ble Supreme Court, Establishment of Grievance Cell which does not clarify that which type of grievances were attended to etc. etc. sought the permission from the House to withdraw the motion of thanks moved by him.

The House unaniously granted the permission to withdraw the motion of thanks moved by Dr. Vasant Pawar.

Dr. Vasant Pawar then informed the House that he wants to move a motion of censure.

“The Council then resolved to “censure” the Administrator for his various acts of omission and commission brought out during the debate as the same have brought disrepute to the fair name and credibility of the Council in general and members of the Council in particular, which was passed by 34 votes in favour to nil against.”

Dr. P.M. Jadhav, Dr. S.K. Sinha and Dr. Usha Sharma brought out before the House that several decisions of the Executive Committee without assigning reasons have been over ruled by the Administrator whereby the decisions so taken in the larger public interest could not be executed. Therefore, proposed by Dr. Ved Prakash Mishra and seconded by all the members present, the Council resolved that as several decisions of the Executive Committee have been “overruled” by the Administrator without assigning any reasons and informing the Council in the meeting that he being a court appointee as the Administrator is vested with certain additional powers and authority over and above that go with the President of the Council under the governing provisions of the IMC Act, 1956 and appropriate resolutions thereon, a stalemate has been created as Council does not agree to his said impression.

The Administrator did not agree with the views of the members and informed that the clarification be obtained from the Ld. Solicitor General of India. The members, however, unanimously decided to approach the Central Govt.

The Council then unanimously resolved “that Govt. of India being the competent authority for constituting the Council under Section 3 of the IMC Act, be approached for a necessary clarification in this regard, so as to resolve this stalemate. Therefore, Council directs that a communication to this effect addressed to Secretary, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Govt. of India under the signature of the Secretary, Medical Council of India, be sent forthwith.”

The issue regarding filing of the affidavit by the Administrator before the Hon'ble Supreme Court in C.A. Nos. 605-606/2002 Union of India –vs- Harish Bhalla & Others. was taken up by Dr. Ved Prakash Mishra and other members of the Council. In this regard members produced a copy of letter dated 11.03.2002 written by the Secretary, MCI which they informed that they had procured from the Ministry of Health & Family Welfare in which it was mentioned on page 14 & 15 that again on 08.03.2002 the Administrator directed the Secretary to instruct Mr. A. Mariarputham, Advocate to prepare an application and affidavit for withdrawing the appeal of the MCI. Accordingly one more communication was sent to him for preparing the affidavit. However, on the instructions of the Administrator on the same day the Secretary received a copy of the application and affidavit from M/s J.S. Wad & Co. for withdrawing the appeal of MCI and where in one of the para of the application it was mentioned that “it is stated that the Petitioner No.1 has now taken a decision not to proceed with the present Special Leave Petition and has, therefore, decided to withdraw the same..”

On an query made by Dr. Ved Prakash Mishra whether any meeting of the Council was held between 26.11.2001 to 30.4.2002 after the Administrator took over, he was informed that there was no meeting held during this period. Dr. Mishra wanted to know, how the word ‘now’ came in the affidavit prepared by M/s J.S. Wad & Co. and who engaged this company.

The Administrator stated that the SLP which was filed by the Secretary was not a good start at the time of his taking over. He further informed the members that at the time of pronouncement of the judgement of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court, the Secretary and the Deputy Secretary (Legal) were present and were knowing fully that he was to join on 26.11.2001. The Secretary decided to file the SLP on 26th Nov.,2001 though supported by the legal opinion just before his reporting and taking over as Administrator.

Dr. Ved Prakash Mishra stated that the word 'Council' has been defined at Section 2, sub-section (b) of the Act which reads: "Council means

the Medical Council of India under this Act." In terms of Section 3 wherein constitution and composition of the Council is prescribed, Govt. of India is required to constitute the Council. Thus, the SLP which has been preferred on behalf of the Council cannot be withdrawn by the orders or instructions of any individual including the Administrator. A withdrawal was possible only in case the Council which means the General Body decides to that effect.

After much deliberation on this issue, the members opined that the decision of withdrawal of SLP by the Administrator and his administrative directions to M/s. J.S. Wad & Co. for filing an affidavit towards the same is a serious matter that the members of the Council have been kept in dark.

All the members of the Council therefore unanimously resolved "to constitute a two men Committee comprising of Dr. Ved Prakash Mishra and Dr. D.K.Sharma members of the Council to look into the "basis", "reasons", "necessity" and "veracity" of an Affidavit prepared by M/s J.S. Wad & Company stated to be filed on behalf of the Council wherein amongst other things it has been brought out that "the Council has now decided to withdraw the S.L.P. preferred by it against the judgement delivered by the Hon'ble Delhi High Court, and fixation of the responsibility for the wrong, if any."

Further, Dr. Usha Sharma informed that the Executive Committee had taken a decision at a meeting held on 31st Jan. 2002 that the SLP preferred on behalf of the Council be maintained. In view of the same, the decision of the withdrawal of the SLP was violative of the said unanimous decision of the Executive Committee.

Hence, proposed by Dr. Ved Prakash Mishra and seconded by all the members present, the Council further resolved that "the S.L.P. preferred by the Council against the order of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court be pursued with all the logical information at its disposal through its appointed Counsels namely Adv. A. Mariarputham, Adv. Maninder Singh, and in further consultation with the Law Officers of the Govt. of India namely Solicitor General of India and Additional Solicitor General of India wherever need be."

The Council further resolved that the “minutes of the meeting of the Executive Committee held on 12.6.2001 be taken as legal and valid minutes for all its operational purposes and that SLP preferred by the Vice-President in his official capacity against the judgement of Hon’ble Delhi High Court and two members of the Executive Committee on behalf of the Executive Committee separately having been preferred in respective official capacities, therefore, the expenses thereon shall be borne by the Council, as the same are in congruance with the SLP preferred by the Council .”

The Council further resolved that “expenses on the S.L.P. preferred by the Administrator including his interim applications not being in congruance with the S.L.P. of the Council shall not be borne by the Council in any manner whatsoever.”

As per the Governing rules it is the prerogative of the Executive Committee to appoint Inspectors. As regards, appointment of Inspectors, the Council unanimously reiterated that appointment of inspectors shall be strictly in accordance with the governing provisions in the Regulations.

In view of above the General Body decided to authorise Dr.(Mrs.) Usha Sharma on behalf of the Executive Committee to finalise the Inspectors for the pending inspections in the list where the Inspectors had been appointed by the Administrator.

The General Body further decided that the Executive Committee may draw out a Panel of Inspectors.

As regards appointment of Council members as Inspectors it was decided that the matter be referred to the Executive Committee.

It was informed to the House that the Executive Committee at its meeting held on 31.1.2002 had already decided on this matter. The decision of the EC was over-ruled by the Administrator. Regarding the over-ruling, the Council resolved “that in view of the several decisions of the statutory authorities including Executive Committee, Finance Committee of the

Council having been over-ruled by the Administrator and an expression brought out by the Administrator to the Council that he being a court appointee he is vested with certain additional powers those are that vested with the President as per the I.M.C. Act,1956 and appropriate regulations thereon, a stalemate has occurred which needs clarification.”

The General Body further resolved that “Govt. of India being competent authority under clause 3 of the I.M.C. Act,1956 being solely responsible for causing to constitute the Council, be approached for sorting out the said stalemate in terms of appropriate clarification including such legal consultations if they deem necessary. A letter in this regard be sent to the Central Govt. requesting to send the reply at the earliest.”

5. Executive Committee – Election of members.

(i) University Group:

To elect four members of the Executive Committee representing University Group vice (i) Dr. P.M. Jadhav, (ii) Dr. (Mrs.) Usha Sharma, (iii) Dr. Prakash M. Shah & (iv) Dr. K. Ananda Kanan whose term expired on 30.3.2002 as members of the Executive Committee.

(ii) Nominated Group :

To elect three members of the Executive Committee representing Nominated Group vice (i) Dr. K.S. Chugh, (ii) Dr. Surender Kumar Sinha & (iii) Dr. C. Das whose term expired on 30.3.2002 as members of the Executive Committee.

(iii) R.M.G. Group :

To elect two members of the Executive Committee representing R.M.G. Group vice (i) Dr. Mukesh Kumar Sharma & (ii) Dr. Inderjit Ray whose term expired on 30.3.2002 as members of the Executive Committee.

Item was deleted and will be taken up in the next General Body meeting to be held on 3rd & 4th June,2002.

6. Postgraduate Medical Education Committee – Election of member.

To elect three members of the Postgraduate Committee vice (i) Dr. S.R. Marilihalli, (ii) Dr. B.P. Dubey and (iii) Dr. C.R. Maity whose ceased to be member of the Postgraduate Committee on 16.10.2001.

(The Central Govt. nominated six member for constitution of Postgraduate Medical Education Committee).

Item was deleted and will be taken up in the next General Body meeting to be held on 3rd & 4th June,2002.

7. **Representation of the Council on other bodies – Election of.**

(i) **Drugs Technical Advisory Board.**

To elect a member of the Council as its representative on Drugs Technical Advisory Board in place of Dr. U.C. Samal who ceased to be a member of the Council on 17.1.2002, for the remaining period upto 13.2.2004. (Term of member on the said Board is for three years).

(ii) **Indian Parliamentary Scientific Committee.**

To elect a member of the Council as its representative on Indian Parliamentary Scientific Committee for a full term of three years in place of Dr. C. Das who ceased to be a member of the said Committee on 21.3.2002.

(iii) **Hari Om Ashram Alembic Research, Award Fund.**

To elect three members of the Council as its representative on Hari Om Ashram Alembic Rsearch, Award Fund for the remaining period in place of (i) Dr. Prakash M. Shah, (ii) Dr. S.K. Pathak & (iii) Dr. U.C. Samal who ceased to be members of the Council.

(iv) **Dr. B.C. Roy National Award Fund.**

To elect two members of the Council as its representative on Dr. B.C. Roy National Award Fund for a full term of five years in place of (i) Dr. V.K. Puri and (ii) Dr. W.K. Belokar who ceased to be members of the Committee.

Item was deleted and will be taken up in the next General Body meeting to be held on 3rd & 4th June,2002.

8. **Recognition of MBBS degree granted by M.S.University, Baroda for the increased number of seats i.e. from 140 to 180 in respect of students being trained at Medical College, Vadodara.**

Read : The Council Inspectors report (8th, 9th & 10th August,2001) carried out to assess the facilities available at Medical College, Vadodara along with standard of examination held by the University for recognition of the MBBS degree granted by M.S. University, Baroda for the increased number of seats i.e. from 140 to 180.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee :-

“The Executive Committee noted that application for increase of MBBS seats from 140 to 180 at Medical College, Vadodara was received u/s 10A of the I.M.C. Act,1956. As per recommendations of the Council first permission for increase of seats was granted by the Central Govt. vide letter dated 06.06.1995 for a period of one year. The said permission for admission of students against the increased intake was subsequently renewed by the Central Govt. on yearly basis upto the year 2001-2002 (7th batch). On receipt of request through the Central Govt. u/s 11(2) of the I.M.C. Act,1956 for recognition of the MBBS degree for the increased number of seats, an inspection to assess the facilities available at the college for the proposed increase along with the standard of examination held by the University was carried out by the Council Inspectors on 8th, 9th & 10th August,2001.

Upon consideration of the Council Inspectors report (8th, 9th & 10th August,2001), the Committee decided to recommend that Medical College, Vadodara be approved for the award of MBBS degree granted by M.S. University, Baroda for the increased number of seats i.e. from 140(one hundred forty) to 180(one hundred eighty).”

9. MBBS (NTR University of Health Sciences) – Continuance of recognition of MBBS degree granted by Andhra Medical College, Vishakhapatnam.

Read : The compliance verification inspection report (11th & 12th June, 2001) carried out on receipt of the compliance on rectification of the deficiencies pointed out in the periodical inspection report (Feb., 1999) of Andhra Medical College, Vishakhapatnam for continuance of recognition of MBBS degree granted by NTR University of Health Sciences.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee:-

“The Executive Committee considered the compliance verification inspection report (11th & 12th June, 2001) carried out on receipt of the compliance on rectification of the deficiencies pointed out in the periodical inspection report (Feb., 1999) and decided to recommend that MBBS degree granted by N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada, A.P., in respect of students being trained at Andhra Medical College, Vishakhapatnam be continued with an annual intake of 150 (one hundred and fifty) students.

10. Continuance of recognition of MBBS degree granted by Karnatak University, Dharwad in respect of students being trained at AL-Ameen Medical College, Bijapur.

Read : The compliance verification inspection report(10th & 11th July, 2001) carried out on receipt of compliance on rectification of the

deficiencies pointed out in the inspection report (Feb., 2000) of Al-Ameen Medical College, Bijapur for continuance of recognition of MBBS degree granted by Karnatak University, Dharwad.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee:-

“The Executive Committee considered the compliance verification inspection report (10th & 11th July,2001) carried out on receipt of compliance on rectification of the deficiencies pointed out in the inspection report (Feb.,2000) and decided to recommend that MBBS degree granted by Karnatak University, Dharwad in respect of students being trained at Al-Ameen Medical College, Bijapur be continued with an annual intake of 100 (one hundred) students.”

11.i) Sri Venkateshwara University, Tirupati - Recognition of MD(Dermatology) & D.D. qualifications in respect of students being trained at S.V. Medical College, Tirupati.

ii) Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada – Approval of S.V. Medical College, Tirupati for the award of M.D.(Dermatology) & D.D. qualifications.

Read : The Council Inspector's report (May, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at S.V. Medical College, Tirupati for purpose of i) recognition of M.D.(Dermatology) & D.D. qualifications granted by Sri Venkateshwara University, Tirupati and ii) approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Dermatology) & D.D. qualifications granted by Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (May, 2001) and decided to recommend that –

i) M.D.(Dermatology) & D.D. qualifications granted by Sri Venkateshwara University, Tirupati in respect of students being trained at S.V. Medical College, Tirupati be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act, 1956, restricting the number of admissions in each course to 1(one) student per year.

ii) S.V.Medical College, Tirupati be approved for the award of M.D. (Dermatology) & D.D. qualifications earlier granted by Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & now by NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada. However, the authorities of the institution is directed to

prospectively restrict the intake in each course to 1 (one) student per year in view of the available teaching faculty.”

12. i) **Sri Venkateshwara University, Tirupati – Recognition of D.G.O. qualification in respect of students being trained at S.V.Medical College, Tirupati and also approval of the college for the award of MD(Obst. & Gynae.) qualification.**
- ii) **Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada - Approval of S.V. Medical College, Tirupati for the award of M.D.(Obst. & Gynae.) & D.G.O. qualifications.**

Read : The Council Inspector's report (May, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at S.V. Medical College, Tirupati for i) recognition of D.G.O. qualification granted by Sri Venkateshwara University & also approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Obst. & Gynae.) qualification and ii) approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Obst. & Gynae.) & D.G.O. qualifications granted by Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (May, 2001) and decided to recommend that –

- i) M.D.(Obst. & Gynae.) & D.G.O. qualifications granted by Sri Venkateshwara University, Tirupati in respect of students being trained at S.V. Medical College, Tirupati be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act, 1956.
- ii) S.V.Medical College, Tirupati be approved for the award of M.D. (Obst. & Gynae.) & D.G.O. qualifications earlier granted by Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & now by NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada. However, the authorities of the institution are directed to prospectively restrict the annual intake, as per the Council Regulations on Postgraduate Medical Educaion, 2000, to 4(four) students each in M.D. and D.G.O. courses.

The authorities be requested to implement the various observations made by the Council Inspector in the inspection report and send the compliance to this effect and also to send the list of the students admitted in the ensuing academic session for noting the compliance on restriction on the number of students to be admitted.”

13. i) **Sri Venkateshwara University, Tirupati – Recognition of MD(T.B& Chest Dises./T.B. & Resp.Dises) and DTCD qualifications in respect of students being trained at S.V.Medical College, Tirupati.**
- ii) **Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada - Recognition of MD (T.B & Chest Dises./T.B. & Resp.Dises) in respect of S.V.Medical College, Tirupati and also approval of the college for the award of DTCD qualification.**

Read : The Council Inspector's report (May, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at S.V. Medical College, Tirupati for i) recognition of MD (TB & Chest Dises./T.B. & Resp. Dises) and DTCD qualifications granted by Sri Venkateshwara and ii) recognition of M.D.(T.B. & Chest Dises./ T.B. & Resp. Dises) qualifications granted by Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and also approval of the college for the award of DTCD qualification.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (May, 2001) and decided to recommend that –

- i) M.D.(T.B. & Chest Diseases) / T.B. & Resp. Diseases) qualification earlier granted by Sri Venkateshwara University, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and now by NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada in respect of students being trained at S.V. Medical College, Tirupati be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act, 1956 restricting the number of admission to 1(one) student per year.
- ii) DTCD qualification granted by Sri Venkateshwara University in respect of the students being trained at S.V. Medical College, Tirupati be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act, 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 1(one) student per year.
- iii) S.V.Medical College, Tirupati be approved for the award of D.T.C.D. qualification earlier granted by Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & now by NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada restricting the number of admission to 1(one) student per year.”

The attention of the authorities be drawn towards implementation of various observations especially with regard to ICU made in the inspection report.

14. i) **Sri Venkateshwara University, Tirupati - Recognition of M.S.(E.N.T.) qualification in respect S.V. Medical College, Tirupati and also approval of the college for the award of D.L.O. qualification.**
- ii) **Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada - Approval of S.V. Medical College, Tirupati for the award of M.S.(E.N.T.) & D.L.O. qualifications.**

Read : The Council Inspector's report (May, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at S.V. Medical College, Tirupati for purpose of i) recognition of M.S.(E.N.T.) qualification granted by Sri Venkateshwara University, Tirupati & approval of the college for the award of D.L.O. qualification and ii) also approval of the college for the award of M.S.(E.N.T.) & D.L.O. qualifications granted by Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (May, 2001) and decided to recommend that –

- i) M.S.(ENT) qualification granted by Sri Venkateshwara University, Tirupati in respect of students being trained at S.V. Medical College, Tirupati be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act, 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 1(one) student per year.
- ii) S.V. Medical College, Tirupati be approved for the award of DLO qualification granted by Sri Venkateshwara University restricting the number of admission to 1(one) student per year.
- iii) S.V. Medical College, Tirupati be approved for the award of M.S. (ENT) & D.L.O. qualifications earlier granted by Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & now by NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada restricting the annual intake to 1(one) student in each course.”

15. **Sri Venkateshwara University, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada - Approval of S.V. Medical College, Tirupati for the award of M.S.(General Surgery) qualification.**

Read : The Council Inspector's report (May, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at S.V. Medical College, Tirupati for approval of the college for the award of M.S.(General Surgery)

qualification granted by Sri Venkateshwara University, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (May, 2001) and decided to recommend that S.V. Medical College, Tirupati be approved for the award of M.S. (General Surgery) qualification earlier granted by Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and now by N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada restricting the number of admissions to 4(four) students per year.”

16. Sri Venkateshwara University, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada – Recognition of MD(Psychiatry/ Psychological Medicine) and DPM qualifications in respect of students being trained at S.V.Medical College, Tirupati.

Read : The Council Inspector's report (May, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at S.V. Medical College, Tirupati for purpose of recognition of MD (Psychiatry/ Psychological Medicine) & DPM qualifications granted by Sri Venkateshwara University, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (May, 2001) and decided to recommend that M.D.(Psychiatry / Psychological Medicine) & D.P.M. qualifications earlier granted by Sri Venkateshwara University, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & now by NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada in respect of students being trained at S.V. Medical College, Tirupati be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act, 1956. However, the authorities of the institution are directed to prospectively restrict the annual intake, as per the Council Regulations on Postgraduate Medical Education to 1(one) student in each course from the ensuing academic session and the list of the students admitted be sent to the Council for noting the compliance to this effect.”

17. Osmania University, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada – Approval of Osmania Medical College, Hyderabad for the award of M.D.(Dermatology) qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector's report (May, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Osmania Medical College, Hyderabad for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Dermatology) qualification granted by Osmania University, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (May, 2001) and decided to recommend that M.D.(Dermatology) qualification earlier granted by Osmania University, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada, and now by NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada in respect of students being trained at Osmania Medical College, Hyderabad, be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act, 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

18. Osmania University, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada – Recognition of D.M.(Neurology) qualification in respect of students being trained at Osmania Medical College, Hyderabad.

Read : The Council Inspector's report (June, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Osmania Medical College, Hyderabad for purpose of recognition of D.M.(Neurology) qualification granted by Osmania University, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (June, 2001) and decided to recommend that D.M. (Neurology) qualification earlier granted by Osmania University, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada, and now by NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada in respect of students being trained at Osmania Medical College, Hyderabad, be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act, 1956 restricting the number of admission to 1(one) student per year. “

19. Osmania University, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada – Recognition of D.M.(Nephrology) qualification in respect of students being trained at Osmania Medical College, Hyderabad.

Read : The Council Inspector's report (June, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Osmania Medical College, Hyderabad for purpose of recognition of D.M.(Nephrology) qualification granted by Osmania University, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (June, 2001) and decided to recommend that D.M. (Nephrology) qualification earlier granted by Osmania University, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada, and now by NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada in respect of students being trained at Osmania Medical College, Hyderabad, be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act, 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 1(one) student per year.”

20. Osmania University, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada – Recognition of DM (Gastroenterology) qualification in respect of students being trained at Osmania Medical College, Hyderabad.

Read : The Council Inspector's report (June, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Osmania Medical College, Hyderabad for purpose of recognition of DM (Gastroenterology) qualification granted by Osmania University, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (June, 2001) and decided to recommend that D.M. (Gastroenterology) qualification earlier granted by Osmania University, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada, and now by NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada in respect of students being trained at Osmania Medical College, Hyderabad, be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act, 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

21. Osmania University, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada – Approval of Gandhi Medical College, Hyderabad for the award of MD (Forensic Medicine) qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector's report (May, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Gandhi Medical College, Hyderabad for purpose of approval of the college for the award of MD (Forensic Medicine) qualification granted by Osmania University, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (May, 2001) and decided to recommend that Gandhi Medical College, Hyderabad be approved for the award of M.D. (Forensic Medicine) qualification earlier granted by Osmania University, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & now by NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.

The Committee further decided that the institution be requested to implement the observations of the Council Inspector.”

22. Sri Venkateswara University, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada – Recognition of M.D. (Obst. & Gyane.) qualification in respect of students being trained at Kurnool Medical College, Kurnool.

Read : The Council Inspector's report (May, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Kurnool Medical College, Kurnool for purpose of recognition of MD (Obst. & Gynae.) qualification granted by Sri Venkateswara University, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (May, 2001) and decided to recommend that M.D. (Obst. & Gynae.) qualification earlier granted by Sri Venkateswara University, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & now by NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada in respect of students being trained at Kurnool Medical College, Kurnool be recognised and included in the 1st Schedule to the IMC Act, 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 4(four) students per year.”

23. i) Sri Venkateswara University – Recognition of MD(Microbiology) qualifications in respect of students being trained at Kurnool Medical College, Kurnool.

ii) Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada - Approval of Kurnool Medical College, Kurnool for the award of MD (Microbiology) qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector's report (May, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Kurnool Medical College, Kurnool for i) recognition of MD(Microbiology) qualification granted by Sri Venkateswara University and also ii) approval of the college for the award of MD (Microbiology) qualification granted by Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (May, 2001) and decided to recommend that –

- i) M.D. (Microbiology) qualification granted by Sri Venkateswara University, in respect of students being trained at Kurnool Medical College, Kurnool be recognised and included in the 1st Schedule to the IMC Act, 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.
- ii) Kurnool Medical College, Kurnool be approved for the award of MD (Microbiology) qualification earlier granted by Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and now by NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

24. Eligibility qualification for admission to DM (Pulmonary Medicine & Critical Care Medicine) qualification for inclusion in the regulations of Council on Postgraduate Medical Education - regarding.

The Council decided to defer the consideration of the matter for the next meeting.

25. Andhra University, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada - Approval of Rangaraya Medical College, Kakinada for the award of M.D.(Dermatology) & DD qualifications.

Read : The Council Inspector's report (May, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Rangaraya Medical College, Kakinada for approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Dermatology) & DD qualifications granted by Andhra University, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (May, 2001) and decided to recommend that Rangaraya Medical College, Kakinada be approved for the award of M.D. (Dermatology) & DD qualifications earlier granted by Andhra University, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & now by NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada. However, the authorities of the institution are directed to prospectively restrict the annual admission, as per the Council Regulations on Postgraduate Medical Education 2000, to 1(one) student each in degree and diploma courses from the ensuing academic session and send a compliance to this effect.”

The Council decided to defer the consideration of the following matters for the next meeting:-

26. **Andhra University, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada - Approval of Rangaraya Medical College, Kakinada for the award of M.D.(General Medicine) qualification.**

27. i) **Andhra University, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada- Approval of Guntur Medical College, Guntur for the award of M.D.(Biochemistry) qualification.**

ii) **Nagarjuna University - Recognition of M.D. (Biochemistry) qualification in respect of students being trained at Guntur Medical College, Guntur.**

28. i) **Andhra University, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada - Recognition of M.D.(T.B. & Chest Dise./ T.B. & Resp. Dise.) qualification in respect of students being trained at Guntur Medical College, Guntur and also approval of the college for the award of DTCD qualification.**

ii) **Nagarjuna University – Recognition of MD (T.B. & Chest Dise./ T.B. & Resp. Dise.) and DTCD qualification in respect of Guntur Medical College, Guntur.**

29. **Osmania University, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada – Recognition of M.Ch.(Cardio Thoracic Surgery) qualification in respect of students being trained at Osmania Medical College, Hyderabad.**

30. i) Andhra University – Recognition of MD (Microbiology) qualification in respect of students being trained at Andhra Medical College, Visakhapatnam.
- ii) Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada - Approval of Andhra Medical College, Visakhapatnam for the award of M.D.(Microbiology) qualification.
31. i) Andhra University – Recognition of MD (Anaesthesia) qualification in respect of students being trained at Andhra Medical College, Visakhapatnam.
- ii) Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada - Approval Andhra Medical College, Visakhapatnam for the award of M.D.(Anaesthesia) qualification.
32. Andhra University, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada – Recognition of MD (T.B. & Chest Dise./ T.B. & Resp. Dise.) qualification in respect of students being trained at Andhra Medical College, Visakhapatnam.
33. Poona University – Recognition of DM(Cardiology) qualification in respect of Students being trained at Armed Forces Medical College, Pune.
34. i) Osmania University, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada – Approval of Kakatiya Medical College, Warangal for the award of MD(Obst. & Gynae.) qualification.
- ii) Kakatiya University – Recognition of MD(Obst. & Gynae.) qualification in respect of Kakatiya Medical College, Warangal.
35. Burdwan University - Recognition of D.G.O. qualification in respect of students being trained at Burdwan Medical College, Burdwan.
36. Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Instt. of Medical Sciences (Deemed University) Lucknow - Recognition of MD (Anaesthesia) qualification in respect of students being trained at Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Instt. Medical Sciences, Lucknow.

37. Mysore University and Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore - Approval of J.S.S. Medical College, Mysore for the award of M.D.(Biochemistry) qualification - compliance regarding.
38. Bangalore University – Continuance of recognition of M.S. (Ophthalmology) & D.O. qualifications in respect of students being trained at Bangalore Medical College, Bangalore - compliance verification regarding.
39. Bangalore University – Recognition of M.Ch.(Plastic Surgery) qualification in respect of students being trained at Bangalore Medical College, Bangalore – Compliance verification regarding.
40. Bangalore University – Recognition of M.Ch.(Urology) qualification in respect of students being trained at Bangalore Medical College, Bangalore - compliance regarding permitting the intake capacity.
41. Recognition of D.A. qualification in respect of Medical College, Jabalpur – affiliation with Jabalpur University regarding.
42. University of Bombay / Mumbai – Recognition of M.Ch.(Urology) qualification in respect of students being trained at T.N. Medical College, Mumbai.
43. Approval of the J.J.M.Medical College, Davangere for the award of M.S.(Orthopaedics) & D.Ortho. qualifications - affiliation with Mysore University - regarding.
44. Recognition of M.D.(Radiology/Radio-Diagnosis) qualification in respect of Govt. Medical College, Amritsar - affiliation with Panjab University & Guru Nanak University - regarding.
45. Osmania University, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada – Approval of Gandhi Medical College, Hyderabad for the award of MD (Biochemistry) qualification.

46. i) University of Poona - Recognition of D.Ortho. qualification in respect of students being trained at Rural Medical College, Loni and also approval of the College for the award of M.S.(Orthopaedics).
- ii) Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Nasik – Recognition of D.Ortho. qualification in respect of students being trained at Rural Medical College, Loni.
47. Inclusion of M.D.(Psychological Medicine) in the Schedule to the IMC Act, 1956 – request from Director, Central Institute of Psychiatry, Kanke, Ranchi- regarding.
48. i) Kakatiya University – Recognition of MD(Anaesthesia) qualification in respect of Kakatiya Medical College, Warangal.
- ii) Osmania University, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada – Approval of Kakatiya Medical College, Warangal for the award of MD (Anaesthesia) qualification.
49. University of Bombay/Mumbai – Recognition of M.Ch.(Urology) qualification in respect of students being trained at Seth G.S. Medical College, Mumbai – compliance reg.
50. Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow - recognition of M.Ch.(Surgical Gastroenterology) qualification in respect of students being trained at Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Instt. Medical Sciences, Lucknow – compliance verification regarding.
51. Bharti Vidhyapeeth Medical College (Deemed University) – Recognition of MD (General Medicine) qualification in respect of students being trained at Bharti Vidhyapeeth Medical College, Pune – compliance verification regarding.
52. Mangalore University /Manipal Academy of Higher Education – Recognition of M.D.(Forensic Medicine) in respect of students being trained at Kasturba Medical College, Manipal.
53. Annamalai University - Recognition of M.S.(Orthopaedics) qualification in respect of students being trained at Rajah Muthiah Medical College, Annamalainagar.

54. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore - Approval of Sri Siddhartha Medical College, Tumkur for the award of D.O. qualification.
55. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore - Approval of Sri Siddhartha Medical College, Tumkur for the award of D.M.R.D. qualification.
56. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore - Approval of Sri Devraj Urs Medical College, Kolar for the award of M.D.(Radio-Diagnosis) qualification.
57. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore - Approval of the Adichunchanagiri Institute of Medical Sciences, Mandya Distt for the award of M.D.(General Medicine) qualification.
58. Bharti Vidhyapeeth Medical College (Deemed University) – Recognition of MD (Obst. & Gyane.) qualification in respect of students being trained at Bharti Vidhyapeeth Medical College, Pune – compliance verification regarding.
59. Bharti Vidhyapeeth Medical College (Deemed University) – Recognition of MS (General Surgery) qualification in respect of students being trained at Bharti Vidhyapeeth Medical College, Pune – compliance verification regarding.
60. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore - Approval of Al-Ameen Medical College, Bijapur for the award of M.D.(Physiology) qualification.
61. University of Poona – Approval of Rural Medical College, Loni for the award of M.D.(Obst. & Gynae.) & D.G.O. qualification and also approval of the college for the award of D.G.O. qualification granted by Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Nasik.
62. Inclusion of DM course in the subject of Paediatric Gastroenterology in the Council’s regulations on the Postgraduate Medical Education- Letter of the Society regarding.

63. i) Sri Venkateswara University – Recognition of M.S.(Orthopaedics) qualification in respect of students being trained at Kurnool Medical College, Kurnool.
- ii) Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada – Approval of Kurnool Medical College, Kurnool for the award of M.S.(Orthopaedics) qualification.
64. Andhra University, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada - Approval of Rangaraya Medical College, Kakinada for the award of M.S.(General Surgery) qualification.
65. The Tamilnadu Dr.M.G.R.Medical University, Chennai – Continuance of recognition of M.S.(General Surgery) qualification in respect of students being trained at Stanley Medical College, Chennai.
66. University of Bombay/Mumbai – Recognition of D.M.(Gastroenterology) qualification in respect of students being trained at Seth G.S. Medical College, Mumbai.
67. University of Bombay/Mumbai – Recognition of D.M.(Gastroenterology) qualification in respect of students being trained at T.N. Medical College, Mumbai.
68. Inclusion of DM course in Child and Adolescent Psychiatry in the regulations of the Council on “Postgraduate Medical Education” – request regarding.
69. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of Kempegowda Institute of Medical Sciences, Bangalore for the award of M.S.(E.N.T.) qualification.
70. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of M.S. Ramaiah Medical College, Bangalore for the award of D.G.O. qualification.
71. Osmania University, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada – Recognition of M.Ch.(Plastic-Surgery) qualification in respect of students being trained at Osmania Medical College, Hyderabad.

72. University of Bombay/Mumbai – Recognition of D.M.(Nephrology) qualification in respect of students being trained at Seth G.S.Medical College, Mumbai – compliance regarding.
73. i) Sri Venkateshwara University – Recognition of MD(Paediatics) & DCH qualifications in respect of students being trained at S.V.Medical College, Tirupati.
- ii) Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences and N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada – Approval of S.V.Medical College, Tirupati for the award of MD(Paediatics) & DCH qualifications.
74. i) Poona University – Approval of Rural Medical College, Loni for the award of M.D.(Paediatics) & D.C.H. qualifications.
- ii) Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Nasik – Approval of Rural Medical College, Loni for the award of D.C.H. qualification.
75. Meerut University & Ch. Charan Singh University – Recognition of D.C.H. qualification in respect of students being trained at L.L.R.M. Medical College, Meerut – compliance regarding.
76. i) Andhra University – Recognition of MD (Microbiology) qualification in respect of students being trained at Rangaraya Medical College, Kakinada.
- ii) Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada - Approval of Rangaraya Medical College, Kakinada for the award of M.D.(Microbiology) qualification.
77. i) University of Bombay/Mumbai &– Recognition of M.D.(Skin & V.D.) & D.V.D. qualifications in respect of students being trained at Seth G.S. Medical College, Mumbai.
- ii) Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Nasik – Approval of Seth G.S.Medical College, Mumbai for the award of D.V.D. qualification.

78. i) University of Bombay/Mumbai &– Recognition of M.D.(Skin & V.D.) & D.V.D. qualifications in respect of students being trained at L.T.M. Medical College, Mumbai.
- ii) Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Nasik – Approval of L.T.M.Medical College, Mumbai for the award of D.V.D. qualification.
79. i) University of Bombay/Mumbai – Approval of L.T.M. Medical College, Mumbai for the award of M.D. (Psychiatry) & D.P.M. qualifications.
- ii) Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Nasik – Approval of L.T.M.Medical College, Mumbai for the award of D.P.M. qualification.
80. Annual Report of the Medical Council of India for the year 2000-2001.

Read and approve the annual report of the Medical Council of India for the year 2000-2001 which was duly approved by the Executive Committee.

The Council approved the annual report of the Medical Council of India for the year 2000-2001 as approved by the Executive Committee.

The Council decided to defer the consideration of the following matters for the next meeting:-

81. Removal of the name of deceased person from the Indian Medical Register.
82. Removal of the name of deceased person from the Indian Medical Register.
83. Removal of the name of deceased person from the Indian Medical Register.
84. Extension in services to Dr. C.A. Desai, Zonal Inspector of the Council.
85. Extension in service to Dr. J.K. Tandon and Dr. R.D. Bansal as Whole Time Inspector on consolidated salary.
86. Request of the members of the Council as per provision of the regulations 4(1)© of the MCI Regulations for calling a meeting of the General Body to deliberate upon newspaper report appeared in the Indian Express dated 29.3.2002

The minutes under this item have been recorded on page No. 7

**87. (I) Approval of Acharya Shri Chander College of Medical Sciences & Hospital for the award of MBBS degree granted by Jammu University.
(II) Renewal of permission for admission of students for the academic session 2002-2003 (7th batch).**

Read : The Council Inspectors report (13th –15th March, 2002) for approval of Acharaya Shri Chander College of Medical Sciences for the award of MBBS degree granted by Jammu University/ renewal of permission for admission of 7th batch.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee:-

“The Committee noted that Acharya Shri Chander College of Medical & Hospital was established u/s 10A of the IMC Act, 1956. Ist batch of students was admitted at the college for the academic year 1996-97 after getting the permission by the Central Govt. This permission was subsequently renewed by the Central Govt. for admission upto 6th batch of students i.e. for the academic session 2001-2002.

The Committee further noted that on receipt of request of the University through the Central Govt. as required u/s 11(2) of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 an inspection to assess the physical and other teaching facilities along with the standard of examination held by the University for recognition of the college was carried out on behalf of the Council on 13th – 15th March, 2002.

Upon consideration of the inspection report (13th –15th March, 2002), the Committee decided to recommend that Acharaya Shri Chander College of Medical Sciences be approved for the award of MBBS degree granted by Jammu University with an annual intake of 100 students.”

It also decided to recommend to the Central Govt. to renew the permission for admission of 7th batch of students i.e. for the academic year 2002-2003 with an annual intake of 100 students at Acharaya Shri Chander College of Medical Sciences, Jammu.”

The Council further decided that the recommendation of the Executive Committee with regard to renewal of permission for admission of 7th batch may be communicated to the Central Govt. immediately.

88. Recognition of J.N. Medical College, Aligarh for the award of MBBS degree granted by Aligarh Muslim University for the increased number of seats i.e. 100 to 150.

Read : The Council Inspectors report (20th –22nd Dec., 2001) for recognition of J.N. Medical College, Aligarh for the award of MBBS degree granted by Aligarh Muslim University for the increased number of seats i.e. 100 to 150.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee:-

“The Executive Committee noted that application for increase of MBBS seats at J.L.N. Medical College, Aligarh u/s 10A of the IMC Act, 1956 was received through the Central Govt. vide its letter dated 16th Feb., 1996. As per recommendations of the Council the Central Govt. vide its letter dated 15/10/1996 had issued LOI for increase of seats and LOP on 7/11/97 for the said increase with prospective effect for a period of one year. This permission for increase of seats was renewed by the Central Govt. vide letter 16/12/1998 for a further period of one year i.e 1998-99. An inspection for renewal of permission for admission of 3rd batch of students against the increased intake was carried out by the Council Inspectors on 10th & 11th August, 2000 and the inspection report was considered by the Executive Committee at its meeting held on 8th Sept., 2000. The Committee upon consideration of the inspection report decided to renew the permission for the 3rd batch against the increased intake (100 to 150) at the college for the academic session 2000-2001. The Committee further decided that the matter with regard to admissions made by the college authorities against the increased intake during the academic sessions 1996-97 and 1999-2000 without obtaining prior permission of the Central Govt. may be decided by the Govt. before renewal of permission is granted for increase of seats for the academic session 2000-2001. The Central Govt. vide its letter dated 2nd Nov., 2000 had conveyed its approval for admission of 3rd batch of students during the academic session 2000-2001 and vide letter dated 14th Dec., 2000 had conveyed its approval for adjustment of excess admissions made by the college authorities (i.e 89 admissions) over a period of five years beginning from 2001-2002 by reducing equal number of seats in the following manner:-

2001-2002	-	17 students
2002-2003	-	17 students
2003-2004	-	17 students
2004-2005	-	18 students
2005-2006	-	20 students.

The above neutralization of admissions will be subject to the annual renewal of permission for 150 admissions in these five years or till the college is regularised by the Medical Council of India for 150 admissions whichever is earlier.

The Committee further noted that Ist batch of the students admitted against the increased intake was appeared in the final MBBS examination in Dec., 2001 and on receipt of request of the Registrar, Aligarh Muslim University through the Central Govt. u/s 11(2) of the

IMC Act, 1956, an inspection to assess the facilities available at the college for the proposed increased along with the standard of examination held by the University was carried out by the Council Inspectors on 20th – 22nd Dec., 2001

Upon consideration of the Council Inspectors report (20th – 22nd Dec., 2001) decided to recommend that J.N. Medical College, Aligarh be approved/recognised for the award of MBBS degree granted by Aligarh Muslim University for the increased number of seats from 100 to 150.

However, the college authorities have to adjust the excess admissions made by them as per the Central Govt. letter dated 14th Dec., 2000 from the academic year 2002-2003 to 2005-2006.”

89. Approval of Academy of Medical Sciences, Pariyaram, Kannur for the award of MBBS degree granted by Calicut University.

The minutes under this item have been recorded on page No. 9.

90. Decisions by Central Govt. as against the Council Recommendations – stand of MCI.

The Council decided to defer the consideration of the matter for the next meeting.

91. To approve the minutes of the Finance Committee held on 1st March, 2002.

Read : The minutes of the Finance Committee held on 1st March, 2002.

The Council noted the following recommendations of the Executive Committee:-

“The Executive Committee noted that the minutes of the Finance Committee held on 1.3.2002 were circulated to the Executive Committee members by the Council office on 15.3.2002. However, it was decided that this item being of important nature be discussed only in the meeting of the Executive Committee.

The Executive Committee considered and unanimously approved the minutes of the Finance Committee held on 01.03.2002.

The observations of the Administrator made on item No. 3(b) & 8 regarding the appointment of Advocates and appointment to the Council which according to the Administrator do not fall within the purview of the Finance Committee were not agreed upon as according to the Executive Committee they are very much in the purview of the Finance Committee as it pertains to financial involvement. However, the Administrator reiterated his stand that item No. 3(b) & 8 of the

Finance Committee do not fall within the purview of the Finance Committee.”

The Council approved the Finance Committee minutes dated 01.03.2002 as approved by the Executive Committee with the following modifications:-

1. The observations of the Administrator made on item No. 3(b) & 8 regarding the appointment of Advocates and appointment to the Council which according to the Administrator do not fall within the purview of the Finance Committee cannot be accepted.

The Council agreed with the observation of the Executive Committee that they very much fall within the purview of the Finance Committee as it pertains to financial involvement. Hence the remarks of the Administrator are expunged.

2. The legal expenses already incurred by the Administrator on the Advocates defending him shall not be paid by the Council.

The Council decided to defer the consideration of the following matters for the next meeting:-

92. **Postgraduate Medical Education Regulations, 2000 – Determination of prior requirement qualification for D.M. (Rheumatology).**
93. **Requirement of extra staff in certain departments conducting postgraduate courses – Regarding.**
94. **To approve the minutes of the Postgraduate Committee meetings held on 5/2/2001, 1st & 2nd May, 2001, 12/6/2001, 2nd & 3rd August, 2001 and 16th Oct., 2001.**
95. **Dismissal of Sh. Vidya Bhushan Kaushik, Gestetner Operator – from the Service of the Council.**
96. **Civil Appeal No.2779 of 2000 Medical Council of India versus Indian Doctors from Russia Welfare Associations & Ors. {with C.A. Nos. 2808/2000, 2809/2000, 2811-2863/2000, 2787-2803/2000, 2804-2807/2000, 2810/2000, 2782-2786/2000, T.P.[C] No.103/2000 and W.P.[C] No.215/2001 dated 8/3/2002 – Judgement of the Hon’ble Supreme Court dated 8/3/2002.**

Dr. S.S. Yadav, Chairman, Registration & Equivalence Committee brought to the notice of the members of the General Body, the Hon’ble Supreme Court order dated 08.03.2002 granting one time exemption to the candidates possessing qualifications from the institutions in erstwhile USSR. He also informed out that there are certain applications which belong to the candidates not having Science subjects in 12th class, having agricultural subjects in 12th class, having only 10th and 11th class pass certificate, diploma

in Pharmacy etc. Dr. Yadav discussed regarding filing of a review petition before the Hon'ble Supreme Court in this regard.

After much discussions, it was decided that the Chairman, Registration & Equivalence Committee may examine the feasibility of filing a review petition before the Hon'ble Supreme Court after having due discussions with the Administrator and the Council Advocate. However, it was informed that this matter pertaining to grant of registration as per the order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 08.03.2002 is included in the agenda for consideration of the Executive Committee which was deferred and will be taken up at its next meeting. The decision of the Executive Committee will be brought back to the General Body for its consideration.

It was decided that henceforth the date and time of the next meeting of the General Body of the Council should be included as an agenda item.

Council decided that the next meeting of the General Body of the Council will be held on 3rd & 4th June,2002 instead of 23rd/24th May,2002 at 11.00 a.m. in the Council office at New Delhi which should include the election items also.

(Dr. M. Sachdeva)
Secretary

New Delhi dated the
15th May,2002.

APPROVED

(Maj Gen (Retd) SP Jhingon)
Administrator

